IE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Apple Times Watson Barner

Art Unit: 3727

Serial No.: 10/785,147

Filed: February 24, 2004

Examiner: Elkins, Gary E.

rned. February 24, 2004

REINFORCED BULK BIN AND METHODS

FOR MAKING SAME

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Mail Stop: AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

For:

This response is submitted in response to the Office Action dated April 27, 2005. In response to the election requirement set forth in the Office Action, Applicant elects for prosecution in this application all claims of Group II as identified in the Office Action. Claims 8-16 are in the elected claim group.

The restriction requirement is traversed because the inventions set out by the claims in Groups I and II clearly are related. It is believed that a thorough search and examination of either claim group would be relevant to the examination of the other group. In addition, requirements for restriction are not mandatory under 35 U.S.C.

Accordingly, reconsideration of the restriction requirement is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel M. Fitzgerald Registration No. 38,880

ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600

St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2740

(314) 621-5070